

Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 20 24)

Department Name:	Iowa Workforce Development	Date:	August 8, 2024	Total Rule Count:	3
IAC #:	871	Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):	11	Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:	96
Contact Name:	Jeffrey Koncsol	Email:	Jeffrey.koncsol@iwd.iowa.gov	Phone:	515-802-8291

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

This rule complies with Iowa Code 96 in requiring employer records and reports for employment purposes.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes. Employers are aware that they must comply with employer records and reports requirements in compliance with Iowa Code 96.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

Minimal administrative costs for employer records and reports.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

Minimal administrative costs for employer records and reports.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Yes. The administrative costs are minimal and comply with Iowa Code 96.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? YES NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

Iowa Code 96 requires compliance for employer records and reports involving unemployment.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

Outdated.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

871.11(1-3)

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

871.11(1-3)

****For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	3
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	16
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	0

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

No.