Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2025)

Department	Iowa	Date:		Total Rule	
Name:	Workforce		May 20, 2025	Count:	13
	Development				
		Chapter/		Iowa Code	
IAC #:	871	SubChapter/	#75	Section	15.411
		Rule(s):		Authorizing	
				Rule:	
Contact	Dane	Email:	Dane.Hopwood@iwd.iowa.gov	Phone:	515-672-4200
Name:	Hopwood				

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

This rule chapter is intended to clarify the requirements of utilizing the funding of and administering lowa's STEM internship program.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes, each year many lowa businesses apply for the STEM Internship Program utilizing these rules. In FY25, 65 Iowa businesses applied for funding for 399 STEM internships.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

NA, no impact on funding with proposed changes.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

NA, no impact on funding with proposed changes.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

NA, there are no costs other than costs associated with IWD's time completing the red tape review.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? \square YES \boxtimes NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

rpmaner in the product of product				
No less costly or intrusive methods exist.				

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

Yes, this language has been removed from the below rules

75.3(15), 75.6(3) - Outdated

75.4(1), 75.4(2), 75.4(4), 75.5(1-4), 75.7, 75.8, 75.9(1), 75.10(1-3), 75.11, 75.13(2)- Unnecessary Language

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include ru	le text if available):
75.1-13	ic text ii availablej.
For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with the METRICS	with suggested changes.
Total number of rules repealed:	13
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	81
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	30
ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDIN	IG CODIFYING ANY RULES?

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

75.1-13