

## Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2025)

<b>Department Name:</b>	Iowa Workforce Development	<b>Date:</b>	June 9, 2025	<b>Total Rule Count:</b>	14
<b>IAC #:</b>	871	<b>Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):</b>	71	<b>Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:</b>	84A
<b>Contact Name:</b>	Brooke Axiotis	<b>Email:</b>	Brooke.axiotis@iwd.iowa.gov	<b>Phone:</b>	515-802-9425

***PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE***

**What is the intended benefit of the rule?**

The purpose of these rules is to inform the public on the process for requests and availability of public records in compliance with IA Code Ch. 22.

**Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.**

No, this rule is repetitive of another rule.

**What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?**

There is no cost associated with this rule.

**What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?**

There is no cost associated with this rule.

**Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.**

There is no cost associated with this rule.

**Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? ☐ YES ☒ NO**

**If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.**

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

Yes, 871-71

**RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):**

871-71

**RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):**

*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.*

**METRICS**

Total number of rules repealed:	14
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	2615
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	10

**ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?**

No.