# Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2025)

Department	Iowa	Date:	June 9, 2025	Total Rule		
Name:	Workforce			Count:	2	
	Development					
		Chapter/		Iowa Code		
IAC #:	871	SubChapter/	72	Section	84A	
		Rule(s):		Authorizing		
				Rule:		
Contact	Brooke Axiotis	Email:	Brooke.axiotis@iwd.iowa.gov	Phone:	515-802-9425	
Name:						

#### PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

#### What is the intended benefit of the rule?

The purpose of these proposed rules is to inform the public of the process for filing a petition for rulemaking with the Department of Workforce Development.

#### Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

No, this rule is repetitive of another rule.

#### What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

There is not cost associated with this rule.

#### What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

There are no costs associated with this rule.

#### Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

There are no costs associated with this rule.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit?  $\Box$  YES  $\boxtimes$  NO If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

Yes, 871-72

## RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

871-72

# RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.

#### METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	2
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	2745
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or	42
re-promulgation	

## ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

No.