Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2025)

Department	Iowa	Date:		Total Rule	
Name:	Workforce		June 25, 2025	Count:	5
	Development				
		Chapter/		Iowa Code	
IAC #:	871	SubChapter/	65	Section	84A
		Rule(s):		Authorizing	
				Rule:	
Contact	Brooke Axiotis	Email:	Brooke.axiotis@iwd.iowa.gov	Phone:	515-802-9425
Name:					

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE What is the intended benefit of the rule? This rule implements the Apprenticeship Training Program. Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence. Yes. What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule? There are no changes in costs to the public to comply with this rule. What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule? There are no changes in costs to the agency beyond staff time to administer this program. Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain. Yes. Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? \square YES \boxtimes NO If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain. The rule is working as intended.

Does this shouter/rule(s) coutein language that is shouldto suitdeted income	istant vadundant av un
Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsinecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative or chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]	
V	
Yes.	
RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):	
·	
974 CF 4/2) and CF C	
871-65.4(2) and 65.6	
DIVISO DE	L. L. 126 - 29-14-1
RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include ru	le text if available):
All of 65 but 65.6.	
	The constant decrees
For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document v	vitn suggestea cnanges.
METRICS	
Total number of rules repealed:	1
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	399
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	0
ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDIN	IG CODIFYING ANY RULES?