## Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2025)

Department	Iowa	Date:		Total Rule	
Name:	Workforce		July 15, 2025	Count:	5
	Development				
		Chapter/	56	Iowa Code	
IAC #:	871	SubChapter/		Section	84A
		Rule(s):		Authorizing	
				Rule:	
Contact	Brooke Axiotis	Email:	Brooke.axiotis@iwd.iowa.gov	Phone:	515-802-9425
Name:					

ivame:							
PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE							
What is the intended benefit of the rule?							
This rule refer	ences outdated s	state law.					
Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.							
No this referer	nce is outdated.						
What are the co	osts incurred by	the public to co	mply with the rule?				
There are no o	costs.						
What are the co	osts to the agenc	y or any other a	agency to implement/enforce	the rule?			
There are no c	osts.						
Do the costs jus	stify the benefits	achieved? Plea	se explain.				
No, there are	no costs.						
Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit?   ✓ YES   ✓ NO							
If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if							
applicable. If NO, please explain.							
Repeal in its 6	entirety.						

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]						
Yes, 871-56						
RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):						
All of 871-56						
DILLEC DE	la taut if available \					
RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule	ie text if available):					
None						
	with average and above and					
For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.  METRICS						
Total number of rules repealed:	5					
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	3384					
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	56					
<del>-</del>						
ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?						